arXiv:math/0011143v1 [math.OA] 20 Nov 2000

LOCAL CHARACTERISATION OF APPROXIMATELY FINITE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

P.A. HAWORTH

Abstract. We show that the family of nest algebras with r non-zero nest projections is stable, in the sense that an approximate containment of one such algebra within another is close to an exact containment. We use this result to give a local characterisation of limits formed from this family. We then consider quite general regular limit algebras and characterise these algebras using a local condition which reflects the assumed regularity of the system.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 47L40; Secondary 47A55.

1. Introduction

The approximately finite (AF) C^*-algebras are completely characterised among separable C^*-algebras by the local description that any finite family of elements almost lies in a finite dimensional C^*-subalgebra. This was proved first by Glimm [5] for the unital UHF algebras and later extended by Dixmier and Bratteli; for details consult [1], [4]. More recently, Heffernan [7] generalised these results to non-selfadjoint contexts and showed that the uniformly T_2-algebras, (limits of nest algebras with self-adjoint part $M_{n_1} \oplus M_{n_2}$) admit a similar characterisation. The first half of this paper, will be concerned with extending this intrinsic characterisation to cover the AF nest algebras of bounded diameter, or, in keeping with the above terminology, the uniformly T_r-algebras for arbitrary fixed r. This paper then addresses the characterisation problem posed in [11]. For r exceeding 2, star extendible embeddings between T_r-algebras need not be decomposable into multiplicity one embeddings, that is, they need not be regular. Accordingly we need quite different methods from those of [7] .

In C^*-algebra theory, one can use functional calculus techniques to show that the family of finite dimensional C^*-algebras is a stable one, in the sense that an approximate inclusion of one finite dimensional C^*-algebra in another can be perturbed to a nearby exact inclusion,

---

Date: 15 December 1999.

see [10]. This property is more elusive for general non-selfadjoint families, yet provides a sufficient condition for a Glimm style characterisation of limits formed from such a family. The central result then of the first part is to show that the T_r-algebras form a stable family. Solving the question of stability is typical of the perturbational problems we have to resolve. The philosophy is that: if a property is approximately true of something, is it close to something similar for which the property holds exactly. This theme is well developed in C^*-algebras (see [2] [8],for example), but less so in the framework of non-selfadjoint operator algebra.

Later, we shall focus attention on those algebras arising as the dense union of a chain of digraph algebras each regularly embedded in the next, a redundant assumption for C^*-algebras and T_2-algebras. The regular star extendible embeddings are the most tractable mappings between digraph algebras, essentially carrying matrix units over to sums of matrix units. In this setting we provide a new local description which reflects the assumed regularity of the system. More importantly however, we will be able to dispense with the bounded diameter constraint imposed above and considerably widen the class of algebra we characterise.

The techniques used in the regular setting are of necessity quite different from those used in the general star extendible case. We extend the notion of a normalising partial isometry to that of an approximately normalising, approximate partial isometry (see Definitions 2.2 and 3.1) and show that such an element is close to an exactly normalising partial isometry, with the closeness depending not on the containing algebra, but on how well the element normalises the masa. It is effectively this lack of dependence on the containing algebra that will allow us to unbound the diameter of the building block algebras. The proof of this result requires an application of Arveson's distance formula.

Throughout the paper, all algebras will be assumed separable without further mention. Uniform limits of digraph algebras will be taken with respect to star extendible algebra embeddings, with no further assumption, until section 3, where they shall be taken to be regular. A symbol of the form $\delta(\epsilon)$ will be taken to denote a positive function of ϵ with the property that $\delta(\epsilon) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. We adopt this convention to prevent unnecessary notation in proofs.

2. Stability for nest algebras

We shall say that a family of algebras $\mathcal{F}$, is stable if, given $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\phi_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to M_n$, $\phi_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to M_n$ are star extendible embeddings with $\phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_\delta \phi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$, then there exists a star extendible algebra injection $\psi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to M_n$ with $\|\phi_1 - \psi\| < \epsilon$ and $\psi(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq \phi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

As alluded to in the introduction, uniform limits formed from algebras within a stable family may be locally characterised. More formally, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a stable family of finite dimensional operator algebras and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach subalgebra of a C^*-algebra. Then the following are equivalent;

1. There exists a chain $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \dots$ of subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A} = cl(\bigcup_i \mathcal{A}_i)$ and with each $\mathcal{A}_i$ star extendibly isomorphic to an algebra in $\mathcal{F}$.
2. For each $\epsilon > 0$ and finite subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ there exists a pair $(\mathcal{B}, \phi)$ of a finite dimensional operator algebra $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ and a star extendible injection $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ with $dist(\mathcal{S}, \phi(\mathcal{B})) < \epsilon$.

The equivalence of the above conditions is routine given the stability condition on the family $\mathcal{F}$. To check a family for stability however, is a non trivial matter and the main achievement of Glimm's fundamental paper [5] was to show that the family of matrix algebras is stable.

The algebras we wish to characterise are the uniformly T_r-algebras, for arbitrary fixed r. These algebras manifest themselves as uniform limits formed from algebras in the family $\mathcal{N}_r$ of all nest algebras with r non-zero nest projections. The local characterisation will follow immediately from the next theorem, the proof of which will occupy us for the remainder of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *$\mathcal{N}_r$ is a stable family.*

The following definition, of an approximate partial isometry, is in the preturbational spirit and sets up the discussion to follow.

Definition 2.2. *Let v be an operator on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then v is said to be an ϵ-approximate partial isometry if $\left\|v^*v - (v^*v)^2\right\| \leq \epsilon$. Operators $v, w \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are said to be ϵ-approximately orthogonal, if $\|v^*w\| \leq \epsilon$ and $\|vw^*\| \leq \epsilon$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let* b *be a self adjoint element of a finite dimensional* C^**-algebra with* $\left\|b^2-b\right\| \leq \delta,\ \delta < \frac{1}{4}$. *Then there exists a projection* $p \in C^*(b)$ *with* $\|p-b\| < 2\delta$.

This result is well known and easily proved by appealing to the functional calculus.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* v *be an operator on a finite dimensional Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$. *Given* $\delta > 0$ *there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that if* v *is an* ϵ*-approximate partial isometry, then there exists a partial isometry* $\hat{v}$ *with* $\|v-\hat{v}\| \leq \delta$.

Proof. Assume $\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Lemma 2.3 then provides a projection $p \in C^*(v^*v)$ with $\|v^*v-p\| < 2\epsilon$. Let $\overline{v}\,|v|$ be the polar decomposition for v and note that each of $p,\ v^*v,\ |v|$ and $\overline{v}^*\overline{v}$ is an element in the abelian C^*-algebra $C^*(v^*v)$. Now let $\hat{v} = \overline{v}p$. We show that $\hat{v}$ is the required partial isometry. Firstly, $\hat{v}$ is indeed a partial isometry: $\hat{v}^*\hat{v} = (\overline{v}p)^*\overline{v}p = p\overline{v}^*\overline{v}p = pqp$, which, since p and q commute, is itself a projection. Secondly, we estimate $\|v-\hat{v}\| = \|\overline{v}\,|v| - \overline{v}p\| \leq \|\overline{v}\|\,\||v|-p\| \leq \||v|-p\|$. The proof is concluded by noting that $\|v^*v-p\| < 2\epsilon$ and the square root map is continuous on the positive cone of a C^*-algebra. □

In fact the partial isometry $\hat{v}$ is dominated by the partial isometry $\overline{v}$, that is, $\hat{v}^*\hat{v}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \overline{v}^*\overline{v}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\hat{v}\hat{v}^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \overline{v}\overline{v}^*(\mathcal{H})$. To see this, consider:

$$\overline{v}^*\overline{v}\hat{v}^*\hat{v} = qpqp = pqp = \hat{v}^*\hat{v} \Rightarrow \hat{v}^*\hat{v}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \overline{v}^*\overline{v}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\overline{v}\overline{v}^*\hat{v}\hat{v}^* = \overline{v}\overline{v}^*\overline{v}p\overline{v}^* = \overline{v}\overline{v}^*\overline{v}pp\overline{v}^* = \overline{v}p\overline{v}^*\overline{v}p\overline{v}^* = (\hat{v}\hat{v}^*)^2 = \hat{v}\hat{v}^* \Rightarrow \hat{v}\hat{v}^*(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \overline{v}\overline{v}^*(\mathcal{H}).$$

As a consequence of this, if v and w are orthogonal approximate partial isometries, then we may infer the existence of orthogonal exact partial isometries $\hat{v}, \hat{w}$ close to v and w respectively.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ \varepsilon & v_3 \end{bmatrix}$ *be a partial isometry with block diagonal final projection and suppose* $\|\varepsilon\| \leq \epsilon$. *Then there exists a partial isometry* $\hat{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_1 & \hat{v}_2 \\ 0 & \hat{v}_3 \end{bmatrix}$ *with* $\|v-\hat{v}\| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$.

Note that although the matrix for v is assumed to be square, we make no such assumptions for the diagonal sub operators. It is open whether this result remains true when the block diagonality assumption on the final projection is dropped.

Proof. Firstly, write $v = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$, where $w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon & v_3 \end{bmatrix}$. By hypothesis, vv^* is a block diagonal projection:

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 v_1^* + v_2 v_2^* & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon\varepsilon^* + v_3 v_3^* \end{bmatrix}$$

from which we see that $w_1 w_1^*$ and $w_2 w_2^*$ are projections. It follows that w_1 and w_2 are partial isometries and since v itself is a partial isometry, w_1 and w_2 must be orthogonal. Now we claim that v_3 is an approximate partial isometry. To show this we estimate $\left\| v_3 v_3^* - (v_3 v_3^*)^2 \right\|$. Since $\varepsilon\varepsilon^* + v_3 v_3^*$ is a projection, $\varepsilon\varepsilon^* + v_3 v_3^* = (\varepsilon\varepsilon^* + v_3 v_3^*)^2$ and thus;

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v_3 v_3^* - (v_3 v_3^*)^2 \right\| &\leq 2 \left\| v_3 v_3^* \varepsilon\varepsilon^* \right\| + \left\| (\varepsilon\varepsilon^*)^2 \right\| + \left\| \varepsilon\varepsilon^* \right\| \\ &\leq \epsilon^2(\epsilon^2 + 3). \end{aligned}$$

Provided $\epsilon^2(\epsilon^2 + 3) < \frac{1}{4}$, Lemma 2.4 ensures the existence of a partial isometry $\hat{v}_3$ with $\|v_3 - \hat{v}_3\| \leq \delta_1(\epsilon)$.

Now set $\overline{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{v}_3 \end{bmatrix}$. We obtain a partial isometry with range orthogonal to w_1 and with $\|w_2 - \overline{w}_2\| \leq \epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon)$. Set $P = \overline{w}_2^* \overline{w}_2$ and let $\widetilde{w}_1 = w_1 P^\perp$. We aim to show that $\widetilde{w}_1$ is close to w_1. Firstly we claim that $\overline{w}_2$ and w_1 are $\epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon)$-approximately orthogonal. Since they have orthogonal ranges, $\|w_1^* \overline{w}_2\| = 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{w}_2 w_1^*\| &= \|(\overline{w}_2 - w_2) w_1^* + w_2 w_1^*\| \\ &\leq \|\overline{w}_2 - w_2\| \, \|w_1^*\| + \|w_2 w_1^*\| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the distance between $\widetilde{w}_1$ and w_1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{w}_1 - w_1\| &= \left\| w_1 P^\perp - w_1 \right\| \\ &= \|w_1 \overline{w}_2^* \overline{w}_2\| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\widetilde{w}_1$ need not be a partial isometry but we claim it is close to one. This is immediate from the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{w}_1 \widetilde{w}_1^* - (\widetilde{w}_1 \widetilde{w}_1^*)^2 \right\| &= \left\| \widetilde{w}_1 \widetilde{w}_1^* - w_1 w_1^* + (w_1 w_1^*)^2 - (\widetilde{w}_1 \widetilde{w}_1^*)^2 \right\| \\ &\leq \delta_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

since w_1 is a partial isometry. Provided $\delta_2(\epsilon) < \frac{1}{4}$, $\widetilde{w}_1$ is close to a partial isometry $\overline{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_1 & \hat{v}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ dominated by $\widetilde{w}_1$. Thus $\overline{w}_1$ is orthogonal to $\overline{w}_2$. Now let $\hat{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{w}_1 \\ \overline{w}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ which by construction is a partial isometry of the required form. To complete the proof we estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - \hat{v}\| &\leq \|w_1 - \overline{w}_1\| + \|w_2 - \overline{w}_2\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + 2\delta_1(\epsilon) + \delta_2(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

□

We shall use this result as the initial step in an induction to generalise to an $r \times r$ block decomposition. In order to do this however, we shall need to call on the following elementary lemmas, the first of which is a standard C^*-algebra result. For a proof, see [9].

Lemma 2.6. *Let p, q be projections in a unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and suppose that $\|q - p\| < 1$. Then there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $q = upu^*$ and $\|I - u\| \leq \sqrt{2}\,\|q - p\|$, namely, $u = v\,|v|^{-1}$, where $v = I - p - q + 2pq$.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let $w = (w_{i,j})$ be an operator which is ϵ_1-close to a partial isometry v and is such that ww^* is ϵ_2-close to a block diagonal projection. Then w is $\delta(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$-close to a partial isometry $\overline{w}$ with $\overline{w}\,\overline{w}^*$ block diagonal.*

Proof. $\|w - v\| \leq \epsilon_1$ from which we infer that $p' = vv^*$ is an approximately block diagonal projection, that is there exists a block diagonal projection p with $\|p' - p\| \leq \delta(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) < 1$, say. Lemma 2.6 now provides a unitary $u \in M_n$ such that $p = up'u^*$ and $\|I - u\| \leq \sqrt{2}\,\|p' - p\| \leq \sqrt{2}\delta(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. Now set $\overline{w} = uv$. Then $\overline{w}\,\overline{w}^* = uvv^*u^* = up'u^* = p$, a block diagonal projection. Thus $\overline{w}$ is a partial isometry with the required properties and

$$\begin{aligned} \|w - \overline{w}\| &\leq \|w - v\| + \|v - \overline{w}\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \|v - uv\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \|I - u\|\,\|v\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \sqrt{2}\delta(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.8. *Let $v, w \in M_n$ be partial isometries with $\|v - w\| < 1$. Then v and w have equal rank.*

See [6] for a proof. We now have all the necessary machinery in place to prove the most important result on our way to the proof of Theorem 2.1, which in essence is a non-selfadjoint generalisation of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,2} & \cdots & v_{1,r} \\ \varepsilon_{2,1} & v_{2,2} & \cdots & v_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{r,1} & \varepsilon_{r,2} & \cdots & v_{r,r} \end{bmatrix}$ *be a partial isometry with block diagonal final projection, in which the operators below the main block diagonal have norm* $\leq \epsilon$*. Then there exists a positive function* $\delta(\epsilon, r)$*, with* $\delta(\epsilon, r) \to 0$ *as* $\epsilon \to 0$ *for fixed* r *(and which is independent of the sizes of the blocks of* v*) such that for each* ϵ *there exists a block upper triangular partial isometry* $\hat{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{v}_{1,1} & \hat{v}_{1,2} & \cdots & \hat{v}_{1,r} \\ 0 & \hat{v}_{2,2} & \cdots & \hat{v}_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \hat{v}_{r,r} \end{bmatrix}$ *with* $\|v - \hat{v}\| \leq \delta(\epsilon, r)$*.*

Proof. Lemma 2.5 gives the result for $r = 2$, so we assume the result to hold for all $v \in M_n$ satisfying the conditions with $s \times s$ block decomposition for $s < r$ and consider v as in the statement. Write $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & X \\ \varepsilon & U \end{bmatrix}$ with the obvious identifications. We shall also refer to the decomposition $v = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$, where, $w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & X \end{bmatrix}$ and $w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon & U \end{bmatrix}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, vv^* is block diagonal, implying that $w_1$and w_2 are orthogonal partial isometries, a fact we make use of later. Now,

$$vv^* = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1}v_{1,1}^* + XX^* & v_{1,1}\varepsilon^* + XU^* \\ (v_{1,1}\varepsilon^* + XU^*)^* & \varepsilon\varepsilon^* + UU^* \end{bmatrix}$$

and the block diagonality assumption yields

$$v_{1,1}\varepsilon^* + XU^* = (v_{1,1}\varepsilon^* + XU^*)^* = 0.$$

Thus $\varepsilon\varepsilon^* + UU^*$ is a block diagonal projection. Since $\|\varepsilon\|$ is small, we infer that U is close to a partial isometry. Also, clearly UU^* is approximately block diagonal, from which Lemma 2.7 guarantees the existence of a partial isometry U' with block diagonal final projection and with $\|U - U'\| \leq \delta_1(\epsilon)$. Now

$$U' = \begin{bmatrix} U'_{2,2} & \cdots & U'_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ U'_{r,2} & \cdots & U'_{r,r} \end{bmatrix},$$

with the operators below the block diagonal having norm $\leq \delta_1(\epsilon)+\epsilon$. We now apply the induction hypothesis to U' to discover a block upper triangular partial isometry $\hat{U}$ close to U', within $\delta_2(\epsilon)$ say. Let

$$v' = \begin{bmatrix} v_{1,1} & X \\ 0 & \hat{U} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now v' need not be a partial isometry, since w_1 and w_2' need not be orthogonal. However they are approximately orthogonal:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| w_2' w_1^* \right\| &= \left\| (w_2' - w_2) w_1^* + w_2 w_1^* \right\| \\ &\leq (r-1)\epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon) + \delta_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\varepsilon\| \leq (r-1)\epsilon$, $\left\| \hat{U} - U \right\| \leq \delta_1(\epsilon)+\delta_2(\epsilon)$ and $w_1 \perp w_2$. Let $\widetilde{w}_1 = w_1 Q^{\perp}$ where $Q = (w_2')^* w_2'$. Then $\widetilde{w}_1$and w_2' are orthogonal. Now $\widetilde{w}_1$ need not be a partial isometry, but it is close to one which it dominates, w_1', with $\|\widetilde{w}_1 - w_1'\| \leq \delta_3(\epsilon)$ say. This follows since $\widetilde{w}_1$ is clearly an approximate partial isometry. Now

$$\hat{v} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1' \\ w_2' \end{bmatrix}$$

is a partial isometry by construction with the correct form and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - \hat{v}\| &\leq \left\| w_1 - w_1' \right\| + \left\| w_2 - w_2' \right\| \\ &\leq \|w_1 - \widetilde{w}_1\| + \left\| \widetilde{w}_1 - w_1' \right\| + \left\| w_2 - w_2' \right\| \\ &\leq 2(r-1)\epsilon + 2\delta_1(\epsilon) + 2\delta_2(\epsilon) + \delta_3(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by induction. □

We remark that, as a consequence of Lemma 2.8, if v is unitary then $\hat{v}$ must also be unitary provided $\|v - \hat{v}\|$ is small enough.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $I_N = I_{n_1} \oplus I_{n_2} \oplus ... \oplus I_{n_r}$ be a decomposition of the identity operator in M_N, imposing a block structure. Take P, Q to be orthogonal block diagonal projections of the same rank and let $b \in M_N$ be a partial isometry such that $b^*b = Q$, $bb^* = P$ and $\left\| I_{n_i} b I_{n_j} \right\| \leq \epsilon$ for $i > j$. Then there exists a partial isometry $\hat{b} \in M_N$ with:*

1. $I_{n_i} \hat{b} I_{n_j} = 0$ *for* $i > j$,

2. $\hat{b}^*\hat{b} = Q$ *and* $\hat{b}\,\hat{b}^* = P$,
3. $\left\|b - \hat{b}\right\| \leq \delta(\epsilon, r)$.

Proof. Let $P_i = I_{n_i}P$, $Q_i = I_{n_i}Q$ and let $\mathcal{H}_i = \text{ran}P_i$, $\mathcal{K}_i = \text{ran}Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Set $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus ... \oplus \mathcal{H}_r$, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus ... \oplus \mathcal{K}_r$. Then $\dim \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{K}$ and $b : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a unitary operator with reduced matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \cdots & b_{1,r} \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \cdots & b_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{r,1} & b_{r,2} & \cdots & b_{r,r} \end{bmatrix} \in M_S$$

where $s = rk(P) = rk(Q)$. Now $b_{i,j} : \mathcal{K}_j \to \mathcal{H}_i$ and $b_{i,j} = P_i b Q_j$ with $\|b_{i,j}\| \leq \epsilon$ for all $i > j$. Lemma 2.9 now supplies a unitary operator $\hat{b}$ with matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_{1,1} & \hat{b}_{1,2} & \cdots & \hat{b}_{1,r} \\ 0 & \hat{b}_{2,2} & \cdots & \hat{b}_{2,r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \hat{b}_{r,r} \end{bmatrix}$$

This operator is upper triangular with respect to the same decomposition and with $\left\|b - \hat{b}\right\| \leq \delta(\epsilon, r)$. Since $\hat{b}$ is unitary, $(\hat{b})^*\hat{b} = Q$ and $\hat{b}\,(\hat{b})^* = P$. Now in the original matrix for b replace each $I_{n_i}bI_{n_j}$ by $\hat{b}_{i,j}$ for $i \leq j$ and 0 for $i > j$ to find the required partial isometry. □

The proof of our next Lemma uses standard techniques and is an obvious extension of the proof of the similar Lemma 3.5 in [7] and as such we shall omit the details.

Lemma 2.11. *Let* $\mathcal{A}_1$,$\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{N}_r$. *Suppose that* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$. *Then* $(\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_1^*) \subseteq_{\delta(\epsilon,r)} (\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}_2^*)$.

We end this section with the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ be nest algebras each of which is determined by r non-zero nest projections and suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$. Denote the matrix unit system for $\mathcal{A}_1$ by $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in I}$. We wish to create a matrix unit system, $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in I}$ for a copy of $\mathcal{A}_1$ inside $\mathcal{A}_2$, satisfying $\|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\| \leq \delta(\epsilon)$. We then set the star extendible map ϕ to be the linear extension of the correspondences $e_{i,j} \to f_{i,j}$ for each i, j. By hypothesis and Lemma 2.11, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_1^* \subseteq_{\delta_1(\epsilon)} \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}_2^*$, and it is a standard self adjoint result that this ensures the existence of a matrix unit system for a copy of $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_1^*$ in $\mathcal{A}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}_2^*$, $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in J}$, satisfying $\|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\| \leq \delta_2(\epsilon)$ for all $i, j \in J$.

Now since $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a nest algebra, its reduced digraph is generated by a bilateral tree and every non-selfadjoint matrix unit may be factorized uniquely into a product of matrix units associated with the tree. Label the matrix units corresponding to the edges of the tree by $e_1, ..., e_{r-1}$. We need to find partial isometries $f_1, ..., f_{r-1}$ close to each $e_1, ..., e_{r-1}$ respectively and having the correct initial and final projections, that is, if $e_1^* e_1 = e_{p,p}$ and $e_1 e_1^* = e_{q,q}$, then we demand that $f_1^* f_1 = f_{p,p}$ and $f_1 f_1^* = f_{q,q}$. Having found suitable partial isometries as described, we may define the remaining matrix units without ambiguity by multiplication. More precisely suppose that the matrix unit e_1 satisfies $e_1 = I_{n_k} e_1 I_{n_l}$ (so that e_1 lives in the k, l block) and suppose that we have found a partial isometry $f_1 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ with the correct initial and final projections as described above and with $\|e_1 - f_1\| \leq \delta_3(\epsilon)$. Then for $n_1 + \cdots + n_{k-1} + 1 \leq i \leq n_k$, and $n_1 + \cdots + n_{l-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_l$ (that is i, j corresponding to the k, l block) we define $f_{i,j} = f_{i,q} f_1 f_{p,j}$. Then for such i, j we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\| &\leq \|e_{i,q} - f_{i,q}\| + \|e_1 - f_1\| + \|e_{p,j} - f_{p,j}\| \\ &\leq 2\delta_2(\epsilon) + \delta_3(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Having generated all the matrix units we can using the matrix units $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in J}$ and the partial isometries $f_1, ..., f_{r-1}$, the remaining matrix units are determined in a well defined way. Thus a full matrix unit system for a copy of $\mathcal{A}_1$ in $\mathcal{A}_2$ has been created. Note that the quantity $\sup\limits_{i,j \in I} \|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\|$ depends only on ϵ and r; the error does not increase within a single block. The remainder of the proof shall be concerned with finding suitable candidates for $f_1, ..., f_{r-1}$. We demonstrate the technique only for f_1 as the other cases are similar.

Consider $e_1 \in ball(\mathcal{A}_1)$. We keep the same notation as above for its projections. By hypothesis there exists $b_1 \in ball(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|e_1 - b_1\| \leq \epsilon$. Let $P = f_{p,p}$, $Q = f_{q,q}$. We use these projections to cut down b_1. Set $b = Q b_1 P$ so that $b = QbP$, and we have $b^* b \in P M_n P$ (where $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$). By the polar decomposition, write $b = \hat{b} \, |b|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_1 - b\| &= \|e_1 - QbP\| \\ &\leq 3\delta_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

since, $\|e_1^*e_1 - P\| \leq \delta_2(\epsilon)$, $\|e_1e_1^* - Q\| \leq \delta_2(\epsilon)$ and $\|e_1 - b_1\| \leq \epsilon$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b^*b - P\| &\leq \|b^*b - e_1^*e_1\| + \|e_1^*e_1 - P\| \\ &\leq \|(b^* - e_1^*)b + e_1(b - e_1)\| + \|e_1^*e_1 - P\| \\ &\leq 7\delta_2(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Provided ϵ was originally small enough so that $7\delta_2(\epsilon) < 1$, we have that b^*b is invertible in PM_nP, from which $(b^*b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is invertible in PM_nP. Thus $(\hat{b})^*\hat{b} = P$. Similarly, $\hat{b}\ (\hat{b})^* = Q$. We now show that b and $\hat{b}$ are close and hence that

e_1 and $\hat{b}$ are close:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|b - \hat{b}\right\| &= \left\|\hat{b}\,|b| - \hat{b}\right\| \\ &= \left\|\hat{b}(|b| - P)\right\| \\ &\leq \||b| - P\| \\ &\leq \|b^*b - P\| \\ &\leq 7\delta_2(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\hat{b}$ is a partial isometry with $(\hat{b})^*\hat{b} = P$ and $\hat{b}\ (\hat{b})^* = Q$ (which are block diagonal) and so would be a candidate for our required partial isometry f_1, but we cannot guarantee that $f_1 \in \mathcal{A}_2$. However f_1 is a partial isometry in M_n and since it is within $7\delta_2(\epsilon)$ of b which is in $\mathcal{A}_2$, it must be the case that the operators in the zero blocks for $\mathcal{A}_2$ in the representing matrix of f_1 have norm $\leq 7\delta_2(\epsilon)$. Lemma 2.10 now provides a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{A}_2$ with the same initial and final projections as $\hat{b}$ and with $\left\|\hat{b} - v\right\| \leq \delta_3(\epsilon)$. Setting $f_1 = v$ completes the proof. □

3. Characterisation of regular limit algebras

In this section, we continue the theme of the local characterisation of separable operator algebras, but in the regular setting. The imposition of regularity allows us greater freedom to characterise limits from a much wider class of algebra than previously, in terms of a local description capturing this additional structure. As we have seen, the difficulty in characterising general star extendible limits formed from arbitrary non-selfadjoint families lies in showing that such a family is stable. In the regular context, we need to overcome the analogous problem of showing that a family whose regular limits we wish to characterise, is regularly stable. In essence, this means that an approximate regular containment of one family member in another is close to an exact regular containment.

Throughout, we shall assume our operator algebras to contain a masa of the generated C^*-algebra. We shall denote the pair of operator algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and masa $\mathcal{C}$ by $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$, and take a chain $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{C}_2) \subseteq \cdots$ to mean $\mathcal{A}_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$ for each k. Furthermore, the set of $\mathcal{C}$ normalising partial isometries in $\mathcal{A}$, that is, partial isometries v having the property that $c \in \mathcal{C}$ implies that v^*cv and vcv^* both belong to $\mathcal{C}$, will be denoted by the symbol $N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$. Whenever we speak of a regular injection, ϕ, between algebras $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{C}_2)$, it will be taken to mean that the map is regular with respect to the masas written, that is $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\phi(N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an operator algebra with masa $\mathcal{C}$. An element $w \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be ϵ-approximately normalising if for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|c\| \leq 1$ there exist c_1, $c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|w^*cw - c_1\| < \epsilon$ and $\|wcw^* - c_2\| < \epsilon$.*

Definition 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{C}_2)$ be operator algebras with masas. We shall write $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_\epsilon N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ to mean for every $v_1 \in N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ there exists $v_2 \in N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|v_1 - v_2\| < \epsilon$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $w \in ball(\mathcal{A})$ be an ϵ-approximately normalising, ϵ-approximate partial isometry. Then for each projection $p \in proj(\mathcal{C})$, there exist projections p_1, $p_2 \in proj(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\|w^*pw - p_1\|$, $\|wpw^* - p_2\| < \delta(\epsilon)$*

Proof. Firstly we show that for any $p \in proj(\mathcal{C})$, wpw^* is an approximate projection. By hypothesis, there exists $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|w^*w - c\| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^*wp - pw^*w\| &= \|w^*wp - cp + pc - pw^*w\| \\ &\leq \|w^*w - c\|\,\|p\| + \|p\|\,\|c - w^*w\| \\ &< 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus w^*w approximately commutes with any $p \in proj(\mathcal{C})$. Next, since w is an ϵ-approximate partial isometry, we show $ww^*w \cong w$.

$$\left\| w\,(w^*w)^2 - ww^*w \right\| \leq \|w\| \left\| (w^*w)^2 - w^*w \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Then we have,

$$\|ww^*w - w\|^2 = \|(ww^*w - w)^* (ww^*w - w)\| \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Thus, $\|ww^*w - w\| \leq (2\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We are now ready to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|(wpw^*)^2 - wpw^*\right\| &= \|wpw^*wpw^* - ww^*wpw^* + ww^*wpw^* - wpw^*\| \\ &\leq \|w\| \|pw^*wpw^* - w^*wp\| \|w\| + \|ww^*w - w\| \|p\| \|w\| \\ &< 2\epsilon + (2\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for each $p \in proj(\mathcal{C})$, wpw^* is an approximate projection and similarly for w^*pw. Now, wpw^* is ϵ-close to an element c of $\mathcal{C}$, which clearly is an approximate projection in a C^*-algebra and so is close to a projection $p_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, with $\|p_1 - c\| < \delta_1(\epsilon)$. Then clearly we have

$$\|wpw^* - p_1\| \leq \epsilon + \delta_1(\epsilon)$$

and the proof is complete. □

The following proposition is a finite dimensional version of the more general Theorem 9.6 [3] and follows from Arveson's distance formula.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a digraph algebra with masa $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose $w \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $\|wp - pw\| < \epsilon$ for each $p \in proj(\mathcal{C})$. Then $dist(w, \mathcal{C}) < \epsilon$.*

See [3] for a proof.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ be a digraph algebra. Let $v \in ball(\mathcal{A})$ be an ϵ-approximately normalising ϵ-approximate partial isometry. Then there exists $v \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|\hat{v} - v\| < \delta(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. The approximately normalising hypothesis easily yields the following facts. Each entry of v is close in modulus to 1 or 0. If $|v_{k,l}|$ is close to 1, then every other entry in row k and column l is close to 0. If row i is such that $v_i v_i^* \approx 1$ then there exists a unique index j for which $|v_{i,j}|$ is close to 1. We now define $\mathcal{S}$ to be the index set:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{(i,j) : |e_{i,i} v e_{j,j}| > \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

and define $v_1 = \sum_{(i,j)\in S} e_{i,j}$. The summary above implies that v_1 is a partial isometry. By Lemma 3.3, for each projection $p \in \mathcal{C}$ there exist $p', p'' \in proj(\mathcal{C})$ with $\|vpv^* - p'\|$ and $\|v^*pv - p''\|$ both less than $\delta_1(\epsilon)$. We assume that ϵ is sufficiently small so that $\delta_1(\epsilon) < \frac{1}{4}$. If p is a minimal projection, then since vpv^* has rank 1 or 0 and since p' is a projection in $\mathcal{C}$, p' must either be minimal or zero, else $\|vpv^* - p'\| \geq 1$.

Also, we note that if $|e_{i,i}ve_{j,j}| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then $|e_{j,j}v^*e_{i,i}ve_{j,j}| > \frac{1}{2}$ which implies $e_{j,j}$ is the minimal projection p' satisfying $\|v^*e_{i,i}v - p'\| < \epsilon$. Thus, if $\|vpv^* - p'\| < \epsilon$, we have, by choice of v_1, $v_1^*p' = pv_1^*$.

We now make use of the estimates $\|vv^*v - v\| < \sqrt{2\epsilon}$ and $\|pv^*v - v^*vp\| < 2\epsilon$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|vp - p'v\right\| &\leq \|vp - vpv^*v\| + \left\|vpv^*v - p'v\right\| \\
&\leq \|vp - vv^*vp\| + \|vv^*vp - vpv^*v\| + \left\|vpv^*v - p'v\right\| \\
&\leq \|v - vv^*v\|\,\|p\| + \|v\|\,\|v^*vp - pv^*v\| + \left\|vpv^* - p'\right\|\,\|v\| \\
&< \sqrt{2\epsilon} + 3\epsilon \\
&= \delta_2(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider v_1^*v. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1-p)v_1^*vp\| &= \left\|(1-p)v_1^*vp - (1-p)v_1^*p'v + (1-p)v_1^*p'v\right\| \\
&\leq \|1-p\|\,\|v_1^*\|\,\left\|vp - p'v\right\| + \|(1-p)pv_1^*v\| \\
&< \delta_2(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\|pv_1^*v(1-p)\|$. Now

$$\|pv_1^*v - v_1^*vp\| = \|pv_1^*v(1-p) - (1-p)v_1^*vp\| < 2\delta_2(\epsilon).$$

Lemma 3.4 now provides $d \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|v_1^*v - d\| < 2\delta_2(\epsilon)$. Next we need to estimate $\|v_1v_1^*v - v\|$. Now $v_1v_1^*v$ is v with the rows v_i for which $|v_iv_i^*| < \delta_1(\epsilon)$ removed. Since vv^* is $\delta_1(\epsilon)$ close to a 0,1 projection, we have $\|v_1v_1^*v - v\| < \delta_1(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We use this fact firstly to show that v_1^*v, and therefore d, is an approximate partial isometry. Since $\|v^*v_1v_1^*v - v^*v\| < \delta_1(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $(v_1^*v)^*v_1^*v$ is a $\delta_3(\epsilon)$-approximate projection. Thus d is an approximate partial isometry in the abelian C^*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Replace d by a nearby partial isometry $d' \in C$ with

$\|d - d'\| < \delta_4(\epsilon)$, so that

$$\left\|v_1^* v - d'\right\| \leq \|v_1^* v - d\| + \left\|d - d'\right\| < 2\delta_2(\epsilon) + \delta_4(\epsilon).$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - v_1 d\| &= \|v - v_1 v_1^* v + v_1 v_1^* v - v_1 d\| \\ &\leq \delta_1(\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\delta_2(\epsilon) + \delta_4(\epsilon) = \delta(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $v_1 d \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ concludes the proof. $\square$

We now introduce some terminology which will be used repeatedly in the following proofs. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ be a digraph algebra and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the containing M_n. Denote the matrix unit system for $\mathcal{A}$ compatible with the masa by $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$. The expectation map $E : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ is defined by $E(b) = \sum_i e_{i,i} b e_{i,i}$. We note that $\|E\| = 1$ and that $E(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ be the usual pair of operator algebra and masa. Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$ be digraph algebras and masas such that $N_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$, where $\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\mathcal{C}_1 \nsubseteq \mathcal{C}_2$. Since each $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $C^*(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ is an abelian C^*-algebra, and by the functional calculus, we can find a projection $p \in \mathcal{C}_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2$, with (necessarily), $\operatorname{dist}(p, \mathcal{C}_2) = 1$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$ there exists $a_2 \in ball(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|p - a_2\| < \epsilon$. Now consider the expectation map $E_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_2$, $E_2(p) = \sum_j e_j^2 p e_j^2 = \sum_j e_j^2 p = I_2 p$, where the e_j^2 's are the minimal projections in $\mathcal{C}_2$ and $I_2 = \sum_j e_j^2$ is the identity in $\mathcal{A}_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_2(p) - p\| &= \|I_2 p - I_2 a_2 + a_2 - p\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_2(a_2) - p\| &= \|E_2(a_2) - E_2(p) + E_2(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \|E_2\| \, \|a_2 - p\| + \|E_2(p) - p\| \\ &\leq 3\epsilon \\ &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

and since $E_2(a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2$, we have a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an operator algebra with masa $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose there exists a chain of subalgebras with masas $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1) \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2) \subseteq \dots$ whose union is dense in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{C} = cl(\bigcup_k \mathcal{C}_k)$.*

Proof. Let $\left\{e^k_{i,j}\right\}_{i,j}$ be a matrix unit system for $\mathcal{A}_k$ compatible with the masa $\mathcal{C}_{k.}$ Take any $\epsilon > 0$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Then we can select a sufficiently large index, k and an element a_k of $\mathcal{A}_k$ with $\|c - a_k\| < \epsilon$. Then $\|E_k(c) - E_k(a_k)\| < \epsilon$ and $\|c - E_k(c)\| < 2\epsilon$, thus $\|c - E_k(a_k)\| < 3\epsilon$ and since $E_k(a_k) \in \mathcal{C}_k$, we are done. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$ be digraph subalgebras of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$, such that $N_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$, $i = 1, 2$. Then given $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$, then $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_\delta N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$.*

Proof. Take $v \in N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Then $v \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ and thus for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|c\| \le 1$ there exists $d \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|v^*cv - d\| = 0$ and similarly for vcv^*. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\epsilon \mathcal{A}_2$ we can find $w \in ball(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|v - w\| < \epsilon$. Our aim is to show that w is an approximate partial isometry which approximately normalises $\mathcal{C}_2$. The former property is clear from the fact that w is close to v, an exact partial isometry. Also w approximately normalises $\mathcal{C}$, again because it is close to the exactly normalising element v, so for all $c \in ball(\mathcal{C})$ there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|w^*cw - c_1\| < \delta_1(\epsilon)$, $\|wcw^* - c_1\| < \delta_1(\epsilon)$. Now take $c_2 \in ball(\mathcal{C}_2)$. Since $\mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, $v^*c_2v \in \mathcal{C}$. We first need to estimate $\|v^*c_2v - E_2(v^*c_2v)\|$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(v^*c_2v) &= \sum_i e^2_i v^* c_2 v e^2_i \\ &= \sum_i e^2_i v^* c_2 v \\ &= I_2 v^* c_2 v, \end{aligned}$$

since $e^2_i, v^*c_2v \in \mathcal{C}$ and where $I_2 = \sum\limits_i e^2_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^*c_2v - E_2(v^*c_2v)\| &= \|v^*c_2v - I_2v^*c_2v\| \\ &= \|v^*c_2v - w^*c_2w + I_2w^*c_2w - I_2v^*c_2v\| \\ &\le 2\delta_1(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Now $E_2(w^*c_2w) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|w^*c_2w - E_2(w^*c_2w)\| &= \|w^*c_2w - v^*c_2v + v^*c_2v - E_2(v^*c_2v) + E_2(v^*c_2v) - E_2(w^*c_2w)\| \\ &\leq 4\delta_1(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Thus w approximately normalises $\mathcal{C}_2$. Lemma 3.5 now provides a normalising partial isometry $\hat{w} \in N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|w - \hat{w}\| < 4\delta_1(\epsilon)$. Thus $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_{4\delta_1(\epsilon)} N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$

be digraph algebras. Let $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ *be given and suppose* $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$. *Then there exists* $\delta > 0$ *dependent only on* ϵ *and* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *such that if* $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_\delta N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$, *then there exists a regular star extendible algebra injection* $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ *with* $\|id - \phi\| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Firstly, we observe that if $v_1, v_2 \in M_n$ are permutation type partial isometries with $\|v_1 - v_2\| < 1$, then v_1 and v_2 have the same support, for if not we could find minimal projections p and q with $1 = \|p(v_1 - v_2)q\| \leq \|v_1 - v_2\| < 1$. Secondly if $v_2v_2^* = v_1^*v_1$, then v_1v_2 is another permutation type partial isomerty. With these preliminary observations in mind, we proceed with the proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a digraph algebra on n_1 vertices, then any cycle within the digraph will have length no greater than n_1. Let G_s be any spanning tree for the digraph of $\mathcal{A}_1$ fixed throughout, and let $e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n_1-1}$ be the matrix units corresponding to the edges of G_s. Since G_s has no cycles, any matrix unit in $\mathcal{A}_1$ can be written uniquely as a word of minimal length, no greater than n_1, using the alphabet $E = \left\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n_1-1}, e_1^*, e_2^*, ..., e_{n_1-1}^*\right\}$. To fix notation, let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j}, \{g_{k,l}\}_{k,l}$ be matrix unit systems for $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respectively, compatible with the given masas. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$, each diagonal matrix unit $e_{i,i}$ can be written as a sum of the $g_{l,l}$'s. Denote this sum for each $e_{i,i}$ by $f_{i,i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n_1$. Now take the matrix unit e_1. Since $e_1 \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ there exists $v_1 \in N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|e_1 - v_1\| < \delta$. If $e_1^*e_1 = e_{i_1,i_1}$, and $e_1e_1^* = e_{j_1,j_1}$ then, $\|v_1^*v_1 - f_{i_1,i_1}\| < 2\delta$ and $\|v_1v_1^* - f_{j_1,j_1}\| < 2\delta$. Since f_{i_1,i_1} and f_{j_1,j_1} are both standard projections and v_1 is a unimodular sum of the $g_{k,l}$'s , provided $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$, $v_1v_1^* = f_{j_1,j_1}$ and $v_1^*v_1 = f_{i_1,i_1}$ and so v_1 has the correct initial and final projections. Now set $f_1 = v_1$. Similarly, we create $f_2, ..., f_{n_1-1}$ having the right initial and final projections (in the above sense) and with $\|e_i - f_i\| < \delta$ for each i. We now form the corresponding alphabet $F = \left\{f_1, f_2, ..., f_{n_1-1}, f_1^*, f_2^*, ..., f_{n_1-1}^*\right\}$. Now take any other matrix unit $e_{i,j}$ in A_1 and let $w_E^{i,j}$ denote its unique word of minimal length in E. Define $f_{i,j}$ to be the element with corresponding word in F. Note that

$\|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\| = \left\|w_E^{i,j} - w_F^{i,j}\right\| \leq n_1\delta$. We now need to show that $f_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ for each i, j. Firstly by construction, each $f_{i,j}$ is a permutation type partial isometry in the containing C^*-algebra. Since $e_{i,j} \in N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ we can find $v_{i,j} \in N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ with $\|e_{i,j} - v_{i,j}\| < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{i,j} - v_{i,j}\| &= \left\|w_F^{i,j} - v_{i,j}\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|w_F^{i,j} - w_E^{i,j}\right\| + \|e_{i,j} - v_{i,j}\| \\ &< n_1\delta + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Provided we choose δ sufficiently small so that $n_1\delta + \delta < 1$, $f_{i,j}$ must have the same support as $v_{i,j}$, and thus $f_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}_2$. In this way we create a matrix unit system $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ for a copy of $\mathcal{A}_1$ in $\mathcal{A}_2$ with $\|e_{i,j} - f_{i,j}\| \leq n_1\delta$ for each i, j. Set ϕ to be the linear extension of the correspondences $e_{i,j} \to f_{i,j}$. Then ϕ is regular, since $\phi(N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ and it is clear that given $\mathcal{A}_1$ we can choose δ sufficiently small so that $\|id - \phi\| < \epsilon$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$ *be digraph subalgebras of an operator algebra* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ *such that* $N_{\mathcal{C}_i}(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$, $i = 1, 2$. *Then, given* $\epsilon > 0$ *we can find* $\delta > 0$ *such that if* $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_\delta \mathcal{A}_2$, *there exists a regular star extendible algebra injection* $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ *with* $\|id - \phi\| < \epsilon$, *where* δ *depends only on* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* ϵ.

This lemma may be viewed as the regular analogue of stability. Put more succinctly it says that the family of digraph algebras is regularly stable.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, we choose $\delta < \frac{1}{4}$ so that $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$. Now Lemma 3.9 implies the existence of $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_{\delta_1} \mathcal{A}_2$ and $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_{\delta_1} N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ there exists a regular star extendible injection $\phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ with $\|id - \phi\| < \epsilon$. By Lemma 3.8 there exists δ_2 for which given $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq_{\delta_2} \mathcal{A}_2$ we have $N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_{\delta_1} N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2)$. □

We have now arrived at the promised characterisation of regular limits of digraph algebras.

Theorem 3.11. *A separable operator algebra with masa,* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{A})$ *is a regular limit of digraph algebras if and only if for each* $\epsilon > 0$ *and finite subset* $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, *there exists a pair* $((\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{B}), \phi)$ *of digraph algebra and regular star extendible injection* $\phi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ *with* $dist(\mathcal{S}, \phi(\mathcal{B})) < \epsilon$.

Proof. Necessity of the local condition is clear, so suppose the local condition holds. Choose a dense sequence in the unit ball of $\mathcal{A}$, $\{a_k\}$. Let $\{\epsilon_k\}$ be a summable sequence, with $\epsilon_k < \frac{1}{4}$ for each k. By hypothesis, there exists a pair $((\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1), \phi_1)$ with $\phi_1(N_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1)) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $dist(a_0, \phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)) < \epsilon_0$. Now, given $\phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and ϵ_1, Lemma 3.10 implies that we can find $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$ is another digraph algebra such that $N_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2) \subseteq N_{\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_{\delta_1} \mathcal{A}_2$, then there exists a regular star extendible algebra injection $\pi_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ with $\|id - \pi_1\| < \epsilon_1$. We now demonstrate how the local condition provides $\mathcal{A}_2$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1$ is finite dimensional, we can select a finite $\frac{\delta_1}{2}$ net for the unit ball of $\phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$, $\left\{a_1^1, ..., a_{r_1}^1\right\}$,we assume $\delta_1 < \epsilon_1$. Consider the finite subset $S = \left\{a_0, a_1, a_1^1, ..., a_{r_1}^1\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. By the local condition there exists a digraph algebra and a regular star extendible injection $\phi_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}$ with $dist(a_i, \phi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)) < \delta_1$ $i = 0, 1$ and $dist(a_i^1, \phi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)) < \frac{\delta_1}{2}$ for $i = 1, ..., r_1$, from which $\phi_1(\mathcal{A}_1) \subseteq_{\delta_1} \phi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$. Continuing in this way we construct a sequence of finite dimensional operator algebras $(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{C}_k)$ and regular star extendible injections $\pi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \to \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ with $\|id - \pi_k\| < \epsilon_k$ and $\mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$ for each k. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_1 \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d, "I_1"] & \mathcal{A}_2 \arrow[r, "\pi_2"] \arrow[d, "I_2"] & \mathcal{A}_3 \arrow[r, "\pi_3"] \arrow[d, "I_3"] & \mathcal{A}_4 \arrow[r, "\pi_4"] \arrow[d, "I_4"] & \cdots \\
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "id"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "id"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "id"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "id"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where each I_k is the restriction to $\phi_k(\mathcal{A}_k)$ of the identity map. We estimate

$$\|I_{k+1} \circ \pi_k - id \circ I_k\| < \epsilon_k$$

for each k. Since $\{\epsilon_k\}$ is summable, the diagram commutes asymptotically, thus $\mathcal{A} = \lim(\phi_k(\mathcal{A}_k), \pi_k)$ and $\mathcal{C} = \lim(\mathcal{C}_k)$ and the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements The author is supported by an EPSRC studentship. I would like to thank my supervisor Prof S. C. Power for his invaluable advice and encouragement while I was writing this paper, Dr. R. M. Green for his many useful suggestions and Dr. J. S. Groves.

References

1. O. Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite dimensional C^*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972) 195–234.
2. E. Christensen, *Near Inclusions of C^*-algebras*, Acta math. **144** (1980) 249–265.
3. K. Davidson, *Nest Algebras*, Pitman research notes in mathematics series no. **191**, Longman Scientific and Technical Pub. Co., London, New York, (1988).

4. J. Dixmier, *On some C^*-algebras considered by Glimm*, J. Funct. Anal. **1** (1967) 182–203.
5. J. Glimm, *On a certain class of operator algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960) 318–340.
6. P.R. Halmos and J.E. McLaughlin, *Partial isometries*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963) 585–596.
7. D. Heffernan, *Uniformly T_2 algebras in approximately finite C^*-algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **55** (1997) 181–192.
8. T.A. Loring, *Lifting Solutions to Perturbing Problems in C^*-algebras*, Fields Institute Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997).
9. G. J. Murphy, *C^*-algebras and operator theory*, Academic press (1990).
10. S.C. Power, *Limit algebras*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, no. **278**, Longman Scientific and Technical, London, (1992).
11. S.C. Power, Partly self-adjoint limit algebras, in Operator Algebras and Applications, Proceedings of a NATO ASI, Samos, Greece 1996, ed. A. Katavolos, Riedel, (1997).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Lancaster University
Lancaster
LA1 4YF
UK
email: p.haworth@lancaster.ac.uk
Fax: +44 01524 592681